arXiv:1603.04916v1 [math.CO] 15 Mar 2016

Stars on trees

Peter Borg

Department of Mathematics
University of Malta
Malta
peter.borg@um.edu.mt

Abstract

For a positive integer r and a vertex v of a graph G, let $\mathcal{I}_G^{(r)}(v)$ denote the set of all independent sets of G that have exactly r elements and contain v. Hurlbert and Kamat conjectured that for any r and any tree T, there exists a leaf z of T such that $|\mathcal{I}_T^{(r)}(v)| \leq |\mathcal{I}_T^{(r)}(z)|$ for each vertex v of T. They proved the conjecture for $r \leq 4$. For any $k \geq 3$, we construct a tree T_k that has a vertex x such that x is not a leaf of T_k, $|\mathcal{I}_{T_k}^{(r)}(z)| < |\mathcal{I}_{T_k}^{(r)}(x)|$ for any leaf z of T_k and any $5 \leq r \leq 2k+1$, and $2k+1$ is the largest integer s for which $\mathcal{I}_{T_k}^{(s)}(x)$ is non-empty. Therefore, the conjecture is not true for $r \geq 5$.

1 Introduction

We shall use small letters such as x to denote non-negative integers or elements of a set, capital letters such as X to denote sets or graphs, and calligraphic letters such as $\mathcal{F}$ to denote *families* (that is, sets whose members are sets themselves). The set $\{1, 2, \dots\}$ of positive integers is denoted by $\mathbb{N}$. For any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{i \in \mathbb{N} \colon m \leq i \leq n\}$ is denoted by $[m, n]$, and we abbreviate $[1, n]$ to $[n]$. For a set X, the family $\{A \subseteq X \colon |A| = r\}$ of all r-element subsets of X is denoted by $\binom{X}{r}$. If $x \in X$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is a family of subsets of X, then the family $\{F \in \mathcal{F} \colon x \in F\}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and is called a *star of* $\mathcal{F}$. All arbitrary sets are assumed to be finite.

A *graph* G is a pair (X, Y), where X is a set, called the *vertex set of* G, and Y is a subset of $\binom{X}{2}$ and is called the *edge set of* G. The vertex set of G and the edge set of G are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. An element of $V(G)$ is called a *vertex of* G, and an element of $E(G)$ is called an *edge of* G. We may represent an edge $\{v, w\}$ by vw. A vertex v of G is a *leaf of* G if there exists exactly one vertex w of G such that $vw \in E(G)$.

If H is a graph such that $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$, then we say that G *contains* H.

If $n \geq 2$ and $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ are the distinct vertices of a graph G with $E(G) = \{v_i v_{i+1} \colon i \in [n-1]\}$, then G is called a (v_1, v_n)-*path* or simply a *path*.

A graph G is a *tree* if $|V(G)| \geq 2$ and G contains exactly one (v, w)-path for every $v, w \in V(G)$ with $v \neq w$.

Let G be a graph. A subset I of $V(G)$ is an *independent set of* G if $vw \notin E(G)$ for every $v, w \in I$. Let $\mathcal{I}_G^{(r)}$ denote the family of all independent sets of G of size r. An independent set J of G is *maximal* if $J \nsubseteq I$ for each independent set I of G such that $I \neq J$. The size of a smallest maximal independent set of G is denoted by $\mu(G)$.

Hurlbert and Kamat [8] conjectured that for any $r \geq 1$ and any tree T, there exists a leaf z of T such that $\mathcal{I}_T^{(r)}(z)$ is a star of $\mathcal{I}_T^{(r)}$ of maximum size.

Conjecture 1.1 ([8, Conjecture 1.25]) *For any $r \geq 1$ and any tree T, there exists a leaf z of T such that $|\mathcal{I}_T^{(r)}(v)| \leq |\mathcal{I}_T^{(r)}(z)|$ for each $v \in V(T)$.*

Hurlbert and Kamat [8] also showed that the conjecture is true for $r \leq 4$. In the next section, we show that the conjecture is not true for $r \geq 5$. For any $k \geq 3$, we construct a tree T_k that has a vertex x such that x is not a leaf of T_k, $|\mathcal{I}_{T_k}^{(r)}(z)| < |\mathcal{I}_{T_k}^{(r)}(x)|$ for any leaf z of T_k and any $r \in [5, 2k+1]$, and $2k+1$ is the largest integer s for which $\mathcal{I}_{T_k}^{(s)}(x)$ is non-empty.

Conjecture 1.1 was motivated by a problem of Holroyd and Talbot [5, 7]. A family $\mathcal{A}$ is *intersecting* if every two sets in $\mathcal{A}$ intersect. We say that $\mathcal{I}_G^{(r)}$ has the *star property* if at least one of the largest intersecting subfamilies of $\mathcal{I}_G^{(r)}$ is a star of $\mathcal{I}_G^{(r)}$. Holroyd and Talbot introduced the problem of determining whether $\mathcal{I}_G^{(r)}$ has the star property for a given graph G and an integer $r \geq 1$. The Holroyd–Talbot (HT) Conjecture [7, Conjecture 7] claims that $\mathcal{I}_G^{(r)}$ has the star property if $\mu(G) \geq 2r$. By the classical Erdős–Ko–Rado Theorem [4], the HT Conjecture is true if G has no edges. The HT Conjecture has been verified for certain graphs [2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. It is also verified in [1] for any graph G with $\mu(G)$ sufficiently large depending on r; this is the only result known for the case where G is a tree that is not a path (the problem for paths is solved in [6]), apart from the fact that $\mathcal{I}_G^{(r)}$ may not have the star property for certain values of r (indeed, if G is the tree $(\{0\} \cup [n], \{\{0, i\} \colon i \in [n]\})$ and $2 \leq n/2 < r < n$, then $\mathcal{I}_G^{(r)} = \binom{[n]}{r}$ and $\binom{[n]}{r}$ is intersecting). One of the difficulties in trying to establish the star property lies in determining a largest star. Our counterexample to Conjecture 1.1 indicates that the problem for trees is more difficult than is hoped.

2 The counterexample

Let $x_0 = 0$, $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 2$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $y_i = 2 + i$ for each $i \in [2k]$, let $z_i = 2k + 2 + i$ for each $i \in [2k]$, and let T_k be the graph whose vertex set is

$$\{x_0, x_1, x_2\} \cup \{y_i \colon i \in [2k]\} \cup \{z_i \colon i \in [2k]\}$$

and whose edge set is

$$\{x_0x_1, x_0x_2\} \cup \{x_1y_i \colon i \in [k]\} \cup \{x_2y_i \colon i \in [k+1, 2k]\} \cup \{y_iz_i \colon i \in [2k]\}.$$

Theorem 2.1 *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*
(a) The graph T_k is a tree, and the leaves of T_k are $z_1, \ldots, z_{2k}$.
(b) The largest integer s such that $\mathcal{I}^{(s)}_{T_k}(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ is $2k+1$.
(c) If $k \geq 3$, then $|\mathcal{I}^{(r)}_{T_k}(z)| < |\mathcal{I}^{(r)}_{T_k}(x_0)|$ for any leaf z of T_k and any $r \in [5, 2k+1]$.

Proof. (a) is straightforward.

Let $G = T_k$. Let $Y = \{y_i \colon i \in [2k]\}$ and $Z = \{z_i \colon i \in [2k]\}$.

We have $\{x_0\} \cup Z \in \mathcal{I}^{(2k+1)}_G(x_0)$. Suppose that S is a set in $\mathcal{I}^{(s)}_G(x_0)$. Then $S\backslash\{x_0\} \in \binom{Y\cup Z}{s-1}$ and $|(S\backslash\{x_0\}) \cap \{y_i, z_i\}| \leq 1$ for each $i \in [2k]$. Thus $s-1 \leq 2k$, and hence $s \leq 2k+1$. Hence (b).

Suppose $k \geq 3$ and $r \in [5, 2k+1]$. Let $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^{(r)}_G$. Let $\mathcal{E} = \{I \in \mathcal{J} \colon x_0, z_1 \in I\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_1 &= \{I \in \mathcal{J}(x_0) \colon y_1 \in I\},\\
\mathcal{A}_2 &= \{I \in \mathcal{J}(x_0) \colon y_1, z_1 \notin I\},\\
\mathcal{B}_1 &= \{I \in \mathcal{J}(z_1) \colon x_0 \notin I, x_1 \in I, x_2 \notin I\},\\
\mathcal{B}_2 &= \{I \in \mathcal{J}(z_1) \colon x_0 \notin I, x_1 \notin I, x_2 \in I\},\\
\mathcal{B}_3 &= \{I \in \mathcal{J}(z_1) \colon x_0 \notin I, x_1, x_2 \in I\},\\
\mathcal{B}_4 &= \{I \in \mathcal{J}(z_1) \colon x_0, x_1, x_2 \notin I\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have $\mathcal{J}(x_0) = \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ and $\mathcal{J}(z_1) = \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2 \cup \mathcal{B}_3 \cup \mathcal{B}_4$. Since $y_1 z_1 \in E(G)$, $\{y_1, z_1\} \nsubseteq I$ for each $I \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ are pairwise disjoint, and hence

$$|\mathcal{J}(x_0)| = |\mathcal{E}| + |\mathcal{A}_1| + |\mathcal{A}_2|. \tag{1}$$

Since $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{B}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_2$, $\mathcal{B}_3$ and $\mathcal{B}_4$ are pairwise disjoint,

$$|\mathcal{J}(z_1)| = |\mathcal{E}| + |\mathcal{B}_1| + |\mathcal{B}_2| + |\mathcal{B}_3| + |\mathcal{B}_4|. \tag{2}$$

Let $Y' = Y\backslash\{y_1\}$ and $Z' = Z\backslash\{z_1\}$. Since $x_0x_1, x_0x_2 \in E(G)$, we have $\{x_0, x_1\}$, $\{x_0, x_2\} \nsubseteq I$ for each $I \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus $\{A\backslash\{x_0\} \colon A \in \mathcal{A}_2\} = \mathcal{I}^{(r-1)}_G \cap \binom{Y'\cup Z'}{r-1} = \{B\backslash\{z_1\} \colon B \in \mathcal{B}_4\}$, and hence

$$|\mathcal{A}_2| = |\mathcal{B}_4|. \tag{3}$$

Let $Y_1 = \{y_i \colon i \in [2, k]\}$ and $Y_2 = \{y_i \colon i \in [k+1, 2k]\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}'_1 &= \{A\backslash\{x_0, y_1\} \colon A \in \mathcal{A}_1\},\\
\mathcal{B}'_1 &= \{B\backslash\{z_1, x_1\} \colon B \in \mathcal{B}_1\},\\
\mathcal{B}'_2 &= \{B\backslash\{z_1, x_2\} \colon B \in \mathcal{B}_2\},\\
\mathcal{B}'_3 &= \{B\backslash\{z_1, x_1, x_2\} \colon B \in \mathcal{B}_3\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have $\mathcal{A}'_1 = \mathcal{I}^{(r-2)}_G \cap \binom{Y'\cup Z'}{r-2}$, $\mathcal{B}'_1 = \mathcal{I}^{(r-2)}_G \cap \binom{Y_2\cup Z'}{r-2}$, $\mathcal{B}'_2 = \mathcal{I}^{(r-2)}_G \cap \binom{Y_1\cup Z'}{r-2}$ and $\mathcal{B}'_3 = \binom{Z'}{r-3}$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{I \in \mathcal{I}^{(r-2)}_G \cap \binom{Y'\cup Z'}{r-2} \colon I \cap Y_1 \neq \emptyset \neq I \cap Y_2\}$. Thus $\mathcal{A}'_1 = \mathcal{B}'_1 \cup \mathcal{B}'_2 \cup \mathcal{C}$. We have $(\mathcal{B}'_1 \cup \mathcal{B}'_2) \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{B}'_1 \cap \mathcal{B}'_2 = \binom{Z'}{r-2}$. Thus

$$|\mathcal{A}'_1| = |\mathcal{B}'_1 \cup \mathcal{B}'_2| + |\mathcal{C}| = |\mathcal{B}'_1| + |\mathcal{B}'_2| - |\mathcal{B}'_1 \cap \mathcal{B}'_2| + |\mathcal{C}| = |\mathcal{B}'_1| + |\mathcal{B}'_2| - \binom{2k-1}{r-2} + |\mathcal{C}|.$$

Let $a = |\mathcal{A}'_1| - (|\mathcal{B}'_1| + |\mathcal{B}'_2| + |\mathcal{B}'_3|)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a &= |\mathcal{C}| - \binom{2k-1}{r-2} - \binom{2k-1}{r-3} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{|Y_1|}{i}\binom{|Y_2|}{j}\binom{|Z'|-i-j}{r-2-i-j} - \binom{2k-1}{r-2} - \binom{2k-1}{r-3} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \binom{k-1}{i}\binom{k}{j}\binom{2k-1-i-j}{r-2-i-j} - \binom{2k-1}{r-2} - \binom{2k-1}{r-3}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We show that $a > 0$. If $r = 2k+1$, then

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\binom{k-1}{i}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\binom{k}{j} - 2k = (2^{k-1}-1)(2^k-1) - 2k > 0.$$

Suppose $r \le 2k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} a &\ge \binom{k-1}{1}\binom{k}{1}\binom{2k-3}{r-4} - \binom{2k-1}{r-2} - \binom{2k-1}{r-3} \\ &= \binom{2k-3}{r-4}\left(k(k-1) - \frac{(2k-1)(2k-2)}{(r-2)(r-3)} - \frac{(2k-1)(2k-2)}{(r-3)(2k+2-r)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $r \ge 6$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a &\ge \binom{2k-3}{r-4}\left(k(k-1) - \frac{(2k-1)(2k-2)}{(4)(3)} - \frac{(2k-1)(2k-2)}{(3)(2)}\right) \\ &= \binom{2k-3}{r-4}\left(k(k-1) - \frac{(2k-1)(k-1)}{2}\right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $r = 5$ and $k \ge 5$, then

$$a \ge \binom{2k-3}{r-4}\left(k(k-1) - \frac{(2k-1)(2k-2)}{(3)(2)} - \frac{(2k-1)(2k-2)}{(2)(7)}\right) > 0.$$

If $r = 5$ and $3 \le k \le 4$, then $a > 0$ is easily obtained from (4).

Since $a > 0$, $|\mathcal{A}'_1| > |\mathcal{B}'_1| + |\mathcal{B}'_2| + |\mathcal{B}'_3|$. Now $|\mathcal{A}'_1| = |\mathcal{A}_1|$, $|\mathcal{B}'_1| = |\mathcal{B}_1|$, $|\mathcal{B}'_2| = |\mathcal{B}_2|$ and $|\mathcal{B}'_3| = |\mathcal{B}_3|$. Thus $|\mathcal{A}_1| > |\mathcal{B}_1| + |\mathcal{B}_2| + |\mathcal{B}_3|$. By (1), (2) and (3), it follows that $|\mathcal{J}(x_0)| > |\mathcal{J}(z_1)|$. Clearly, for each $i \in [2k]$, we have $|\mathcal{J}(z_i)| = |\mathcal{J}(z_1)|$, and hence $|\mathcal{J}(z_i)| < |\mathcal{J}(x_0)|$. By (a), (c) follows. □

If I is a maximal independent set of T_k, then $|I \cap \{x_0, x_1, x_2\}| \ge 1$ and $|I \cap \{y_i, z_i\}| = 1$ for each $i \in [2k]$. Thus $\mu(T_k) = 2k+1$. Therefore, if $5 \le r \le (2k+1)/2$, then the condition $\mu(T_k) \ge 2r$ of the HT Conjecture is satisfied, but, by Theorem 2.1, no leaf of T_k yields a star of $\mathcal{I}^{(r)}_{T_k}$ of maximum size.

References

[1] P. Borg, Extremal t-intersecting sub-families of hereditary families, *J. London Math. Soc.* 79 (2009), 167–185.

[2] P. Borg and F. Holroyd, The Erdős–Ko–Rado properties of set systems defined by double partitions, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009), 4754–4761.

[3] P. Borg and F. Holroyd, The Erdős–Ko–Rado property of various graphs containing singletons, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009), 2877–2885.

[4] P. Erdős, C. Ko and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* 12 (1961), 313–320.

[5] F. Holroyd, Problem 338 (BCC16.25), Erdős-Ko-Rado at the court of King Arthur, *Discrete Math.* 197/198 (1999), 812.

[6] F. Holroyd, C. Spencer and J. Talbot, Compression and Erdős-Ko-Rado graphs, *Discrete Math.* 293 (2005), 155–164.

[7] F. Holroyd and J. Talbot, Graphs with the Erdős-Ko-Rado property, *Discrete Math.* 293 (2005), 165–176.

[8] G. Hurlbert and V. Kamat, Erdős–Ko–Rado theorems for chordal graphs and trees, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 118 (2011), 829–841.

[9] J. Talbot, Intersecting families of separated sets, *J. London Math. Soc.* 68 (2003), 37–51.

[10] R. Woodroofe, Erdős–Ko–Rado theorems for simplicial complexes, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 118 (2011), 1218–1227.